

# Supplementary Material for "Laser Cooling Scheme for the Carbon Dimer ( $^{12}\text{C}_2$ )"

Niccolò Bigagli<sup>1</sup>, Daniel W. Savin<sup>2</sup>, and Sebastian Will<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA and*

<sup>2</sup>*Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA*

(Dated: December 21, 2021)

## CALCULATION OF BRANCHING RATIOS

After identifying three excited states for possible cycling schemes as described in the main text, we identified their decay paths using the ExoMol database [1–4] and compiled the respective transition energies and Einstein  $A$  coefficients,  $A_i$ . The subscript  $i$  identifies each decay path. In order to determine the relative strength and thus the relative importance of the decay paths, we calculate the branching ratios (BRs) via

$$\text{BR}_i = \frac{A_i}{\sum_j A_j}, \quad (1)$$

where  $j$  runs over all decay paths. Branching ratios are such that

$$\sum_j A_j = \Gamma, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the total decay rate of the excited state, i.e.,  $\Gamma = \tau^{-1}$ , where  $\tau$  is the lifetime of the excited state. Figure 3 of the main text plots the decay BRs for all excited states vs. transition wavelength  $\lambda_i$ . Decay paths with BRs  $< 10^{-6}$  are deemed negligible for our considerations and omitted from the calculations and the figure.

## CLOSURE OF THE CYCLING SCHEMES

In order to quantify the level of closure of the cycling schemes, we determine how many scatterings can be performed for a given number of repump lasers addressing the decay paths until 10% of molecules remain in cycling-states, that is until 90% of the molecules are lost to dark states. In addition, we determine the time necessary to complete those scatterings.

We model photon scattering as a Bernoulli process with a probability  $p$  that the molecule will remain within the cycling scheme per photon scattering [5] and a probability  $1 - p$  that the molecule will leave. The quantity  $p$  is given by

$$p = \sum_i \text{BR}_i, \quad (3)$$

where  $i$  runs over all driven transitions (the main cooling transition and the addressed repump transitions). The fraction of molecules in bright states after  $n$  photon scatterings is given by  $p^n$ . The number of scatterings that retain 10% of the molecules in a bright state is

$$n_{10\%} = \ln(0.1)/\ln(p). \quad (4)$$

The time to complete  $n_{10\%}$  scatterings is given by

$$t_{10\%} = n_{10\%}/R, \quad (5)$$

where  $R$  denotes the scattering rate. The time is related to the natural linewidth of the excited state via

$$R = \Gamma \cdot n_e, \quad (6)$$

where  $n_e$  is the probability that the molecule occupies the excited state during the photon cycling process [6]. This takes into account saturation effects and the number of transitions addressed and is given by

$$n_e = \frac{1}{G + 1 + 2\sum I_{\text{sat},i}/I_i}, \quad (7)$$

as discussed in Ref. [7]. Here,  $I_i$  is the intensity of the laser addressing the  $i^{\text{th}}$  transition;  $I_{\text{sat},i} = \pi h c \Gamma / 3 \lambda_i^3$  is the associated saturation intensity [6];  $G$  is the number of driven transitions;  $h$  is Planck's constant; and  $c$  is the speed of light.

We also note that the definition of saturation intensity for a particular transition,  $I_{\text{sat},i}$ , between the excited state and one of the lower states in a multi-level system (as relevant here) is identical to the saturation intensity for a two-level system with a scattering rate given by the transition's Einstein  $A_i$  coefficient, weighted by the inverse probability that such a transition will occur (given by the inverse of its branching ratio), that is

$$I_{\text{sat},i} = \frac{\pi h c A_i}{3 \lambda_i^3} \cdot \text{BR}^{-1} = \frac{\pi h c A_i}{3 \lambda_i^3} \cdot \frac{\sum_j A_j}{A_i}. \quad (8)$$

The weighing by the inverse branching ratio is done so that a transition that is 100 times weaker than the sum of the other ones (i.e.,  $\text{BR} = 0.01$ ) will need 100 times more intensity to get saturated.

## MOLECULE DEFLECTION

For deflection, molecules scatter photons and experience a recoil that leads to an increasing Doppler shift  $\delta$  as more photons are scattered. We assume that molecules continue scattering photons until the Doppler shift is equal to

$$\delta = \Gamma/2\sqrt{1 + I/I_{\text{sat}}}. \quad (9)$$

Given that the Doppler shift is  $\delta = v \cdot k = v \cdot \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ , where  $v$  is the molecule velocity and  $k$  is the light wave number, the velocity at which the molecule is tuned out of resonance is given by

$$v_\delta = \frac{\Gamma \lambda \sqrt{1 + I/I_{\text{sat}}}}{4\pi}. \quad (10)$$

To find the number of scatterings  $n_{\text{defl}}$  that lead to a recoil velocity  $v_{\delta}$ , we divide the momentum associated with this velocity by the photon momentum  $p = \hbar k$ , with  $\hbar = h/2\pi$ . If we only consider the main cooling transition, this yields

$$n_{\text{defl}} = \frac{m_{\text{C}_2} v_{\delta}}{p}, \quad (11)$$

where  $m_{\text{C}_2}$  is the mass of a carbon dimer. When repump transitions are addressed, we calculate  $v_{\delta}$  using the strongest transition, i.e. the transition with largest BR. For the photon momentum, we use the mean value

$$\bar{p} = \sum_j \hbar k_j \cdot \frac{BR_j}{\sum_i BR_i}, \quad (12)$$

which weighs the photon momentum of each transition  $\hbar k_j$  by the probability of a scattering on transition  $j$  to happen. Here,  $i$  and  $j$  run over the addressed transitions.  $L_{\text{defl}}$ , the axial distance travelled by the molecules until they leave resonance, is given by the product of the initial velocity,  $v_0$ , and the time to complete  $n_{\text{defl}}$  scatterings,  $t_{\text{defl}} = n_{\text{defl}}/R$ , so that  $L_{\text{defl}} = v_0 \cdot t_{\text{defl}}$ . The deflection angle is calculated via

$$\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{v_{\delta}}{v_0}\right). \quad (13)$$

## COOLING

For cooling, we find the number of scatterings  $n_{\text{cool}}$  by dividing the initial molecule momentum by the mean photon momentum  $\bar{p}$ . Then, we determine the cooling time  $t_{\text{cool}}$  from the scattering rate and the number of photon scatterings via  $t_{\text{cool}} = n_{\text{cool}}/R$  and the length required for cooling from  $L_{\text{cool}} = v_0 \cdot t_{\text{cool}}/2$ . The reported accelerations are calculated via  $a = \frac{\hbar k R}{m_{\text{C}_2}}$ .

- 
- [1] J. Tennyson, S. N. Yurchenko, A. F. Al-Refaie, E. J. Barton, K. L. Chubb, P. A. Coles, S. Diamantopoulou, M. N. Gorman, C. Hill, A. Z. Lam, *et al.*, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **327**, 73 (2016).
  - [2] S. N. Yurchenko, I. Szabó, E. Pyatenko, and J. Tennyson, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **480**, 3397 (2018).
  - [3] L. K. McKemmish, A.-M. Syme, J. Borsovszky, S. N. Yurchenko, J. Tennyson, T. Furtenbacher, and A. G. Császár, *Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc.* **497**, 1081 (2020).
  - [4] “Exomol, <https://www.exomol.com/>.”
  - [5] M. Di Rosa, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **31**, 395 (2004).
  - [6] N. Fitch and M. Tarbutt (*Academic Press*, 2021) pp. 157–262.
  - [7] M. Tarbutt, B. Sauer, J. Hudson, and E. Hinds, *New J. Phys.* **15**, 053034 (2013).